STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - FAMILY DIVISION

ORDER OF ADJUDICATION

CASE NO.

OAKLAND COUNTY	ORDER OF	PETITION NO.	
Court address		JUDGE	ne no
In the matter of			
1.Removal date:	(Specify for each child	if different.)	
THE COURT FINDS:			
2. A petition has been submitted allegi	ing that the above child(ren) come(s) within the	provisions of MCL 712A.2(b).	
 The child(ren) ☐ is/are ☐ is no 	vare not subject to the continuing jurisdiction	on of another court.	
Court:			
4. ☐Notice of hearing was given as re	equired by law. Notice of proceedings is to	be given as required by law.	
 Sa. Based on testimony, there is properties of the control of the legal fath 		er(s) is/are:	
☐c. The putative father was notified	is unkr d as required by law and failed to establish pat rights to further notice, including the right to no	ernity within the time set by the co	
6. The respondent(s) Name(s)	father		**
☐did not appear ☐appeared in	court in person or by Zoom for the Oral Rulin	a on	
\$60-00-001	Manner of appearance an attorney. waived representation by a		
☐7. The plea by			
Name(s)			
is knowingly, understandingly, and	d voluntarily made.		
	the court conducts the dispositional hearing immediately to the Michigan Department of Health and Human Service		
Approved, SCAO Form JC 49, Rev. 9/24			
MCI 712A 2 MCI 712A 13a MCI 712A 14 MC	CI 712A 19a/2)		

MCL 712A.19b(4), MCL 722.638, MCR 3.002, MCR 3.205(A), MCR 3.921(B), MCR 3.967, MCR 3.972, MCR 3.976(B)(1)
Page 1 of 5

Z
\Box
正
2
쓰
\mathbb{Z}
$\overline{}$
出
3
_
涡
\sim
~
_

Order of Adjudication (Child Protective Proceedings) (9/24)	Case No.
Page 2 of 5	
8. By ⊠ a preponderance of the evidence, ☐ clear and convincing after ☐ clear and convincing of the evidence, ☐ clear and convincing of the evidence of the e	evidence, after
★ trial of father fat	
plea of admission by	1
☐ no contest plea by	·
	ild(ren). ren) (MCL 712A 2(b)). The statutory ground(s)
is/are:	ren) (wcc / 12A.2[b]). The statutory ground(s)
failure to provide, when able to do so, support, education, med	dical, surgical, or other necessary care for health
or morals.	
☐ substantial risk of harm to mental well-being.☐ abandonment by parents, guardian, or other custodian.	
lack of proper custody or guardianship.	
an unfit home or environment, by reason of neglect, cruelty, de	runkenness, criminality, or depravity on the part
of a parent, guardian, nonparent adult, or other custodian. failure to comply with a limited guardianship placement plan.	
failure to comply with a court-structured guardianship place.	
when a guardianship is in place, failure to provide support or to	
child(ren) for a period of 2 years, either before or after a guardian	
☐ the juvenile is in danger of substantial physical or psychologic ☐ the juvenile is homeless or not domiciled with a parent or o	
the juvenile has repeatedly run away from home and is bey	
responsible person.	
☐ the juvenile is alleged to have committed a commercial sex MCL 750.462a or a delinquent act that is the result of force	
parent or other adult.	, rada, occident, or manipulation excressed by a
the juvenile's custodial parent or legally responsible person	
incapacitated and no appropriate parent or legally responsi the juvenile.	ible person is willing and able to provide care for
	ne attached written opinion. as noted below.
☐10.☐a. Contrary to the welfare findings were made in a prior order.	·
☐b. It is contrary to the welfare of the child(ren) to remain in the ho	ome because:
☐11. ☐a. Consistent with the circumstances, reasonable efforts to preven	ent or eliminate removal of the child(ren) from the
	OR
b. Consistent with the circumstances, reasonable efforts were machild (ren) from the home. Those efforts include:	ade to prevent or eliminate removal of the
child(ren) nom the nome. Those enons include.	
☐c. Reasonable efforts to prevent or eliminate removal of the child	
d. Reasonable efforts to prevent or eliminate removal of the child determined in a prior order.	d(ren) from the home were not required as
12. The child(ren) is/are Indian as defined in MCR 3.002(12).	
☐ A removal hearing as required by MCR 3.967 was conducted in	
☐ The evidence included the testimony of at least one qualified	expert witness,
, who has/ha	ave knowledge of the child rearing practices of the
Name(s)	
Indian child(ren's) tribe, and testified that the continued custo ☐ is ☐ is not likely to result in serious emotional or	
13. The court finds by clear and convincing evidence that	physical damage to the official.
☐a. active efforts ☐ have ☐ have not been made t	to provide remedial services and rehabilitative
programs designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family. MCL 712B.3(a). Include separate sheets as necessary.)	. (Specify active efforts found as defined in MCR 3.002(1) and

•	_	,
1	7	•
:		=
_		1
-	-	-
ι	1	
_		_
C	1	
1	_	١
:	÷	•
L	1	-
,	_	
L		J
L	1	l
•	_	5
:	_	_
ı	ı	ı
;	,	₹
ĺ	_	J
ı	1	ı
ř	v	7
L	1	-

Order of Adjudication (Child Protective Proceedings) (9/24)	Case No.
Page 3 of 5 □b. these efforts have proved □ unsuccessful. □c. the continued custody of the Indian child(ren) by the	□ successful. parent or Indian custodian □ is □ is not likely
to result in serious emotional or physical damage to d. the Indian child(ren) should should n	the Indian child(ren).
☐ 14.a.Reasonable efforts are not required to prevent or elimin ☐ mother ☐ father subjecting to	
by	
☐ mother's ☐ father's conviction for mother's ☐ father's conviction for another child of the parent, attempting to murder the soliciting to commit the murder of the child(ren) or an another child father's conviction for an another child of the parent, attempting to murder the soliciting to commit the murder of the child(ren) or an another child father's conviction for another is convicted in the child for another in the child for	
child(ren) or another child of the parent.	er felony assault that resulted in serious bodily injury to the ermination of parental rights to a sibling of the child(ren)
	ed to register under the Sex Offender Registration Act.
not required because the parent subjected the child stated above.OR	o make it possible for the child(ren) to safely return home are or another child of the parent to one of the circumstances
still recommended because:	
(When item 14 is checked, either complete item 16 below or schedule a 15. a. Reasonable efforts shall be made to preserve and resafely return home.	
	nd reunify the family because it would be detrimental to the
☐ 16. Because reasonable efforts to prevent or eliminate remove permanency planning hearing was conducted. (Use and att Planning Hearing.)	
17. Custody of the child(ren) with the parent/guardian/legal cus a. presents a substantial risk of harm to the child(ren)'s life	todian e, physical health, or mental well-being.
No provision of service or other arrangement excep adequately safeguard the child(ren) from the risk of well-being.	ot removal of the child(ren) is reasonably available to harm to the child(ren)'s life, physical health, or mental
☐ 18. ☐ a. All siblings are in joint placement. ☐ b. All siblings are not in joint placement because:	
Sibling contact is occurring according to law.	is not occurring because (see item 25 to order sibling contact):
19.Parenting time with	, even if supervised, may be harmful to the child(ren).

Order of	Adjudication	(Child	Protective	Proceedings)	(9/24)
Page 4 of	5				

Case No.	

IT IS ORDERED:

☑20. The petition is dismissed, the child(ren) is/are released to mother and father, and the jurisdiction of this court is terminated except that the court reserves the right to enforce orders for reimbursement of court costs, attorney fees, and other assessments that have accrued up to and including the date of this order.
□21. Notice is to be given to the legal/putative father(s) as required by law. □The father was not present and must appear at the next hearing. □The putative father was present at this hearing and shall establish paternity within 14 days.
 The child(ren) a. is/are placed with the department for care and supervision, and the parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian shall execute all documents necessary to release confidential information regarding the child(ren), including medical, mental, and educational reports, and shall also, within 7 days, provide the department with the name(s) and address(es) of the medical provider(s) for the child(ren). Any medical provider of the child(ren) shall release the medical records of the child(ren) to the department.
 2) if the child(ren) is/are placed in the home of a relative, a home study shall be performed by the department and a copy of the home study submitted to the court not more than 30 days after the placement. 3) upon request, the department shall release to the foster parent the information concerning the child(ren) in accordance with MCL 712A.13a(18). The child(ren) shall be taken into protective custody. To effect this order, is authorized to enter the premises located at
This authorization to enter the premises and take the child(ren) into protective custody expires
□Enter on LEIN □b. is/are released to under the supervision of
Name(s) of parent(s), guardian, or legal custodian the department. The following terms and conditions apply to the parent(s), guardian, legal custodian:
23. While the child(ren) is/are placed out of the home, the friend of the court must take the necessary steps to appropriately assign or redirect child support pursuant to law.
shall have a psychological evaluation counseling to determine appropriateness and conditions of parenting time.
25. Sibling contact shall be as follows:
□26. □a. Parenting time ofis
unsupervised. supervised until further order of the court. The department has discretion to allow unsupervised or supervised parenting time by its designee.
□ b. Parenting time of □ is □ is □ unsupervised. □ supervised until further order of the court. □ The department has discretion to allow unsupervised or supervised parenting time by its designee.
c. Parenting time ofis
☐The department has discretion to allow unsupervised or supervised parenting time by its designee. ☐d.

drunkenness, criminality, or depravity pursuant to MCL 712A.2(b)(2).
The Court finds that the Petitioner failed to establish that the minor child, comes within the provisions of MCL 712A.2(b)(1) and/or (2) by a preponderance of the evidence. Since the Court finds that minor child, does not come within the jurisdiction of the Court pursuant to MCL 712A.2(b)(1) or (2), no analysis under the provision of MCL 712A. 19b(3)(j) shall be explored.
Therefore, this Court shall dismiss this petition and order that the minor child, shall be immediately returned to the care and custody of both his parents. 29. Prior orders remain in effect except as modified by this order.
Recommended by:
MCL 722.638 - AGGRAVATED CIRCUMSTANCES
 (1) The department shall submit a petition for authorization by the court under Section 2(b) of Chapter XIIA of 1939 PA 288, MCL 712A.2, if one or more of the following apply: (a) The department determines that a parent, guardian, or legal custodian, or a person who is 18 years of age or older and who resides for any length of time in the child's home, has abused the child or a sibling of the child and the abuse included one or more of the following: (i) Abandonment of a young child. (ii) Criminal sexual conduct involving penetration, attempted penetration, or assault with intent to penetrate. (iii) Battering, torture, or other serious physical harm. (iv) Loss or serious impairment of an organ or limb. (v) Life threatening injury. (vi) Murder or attempted murder.
 (b) The department determines that there is risk of harm, child abuse, or child neglect to the child and either of the following is true: (i) The parent's rights to another child were terminated as a result of proceedings under Section 2(b) of Chapter XIIA of 1939 PA 288, MCL 712A.2, or a similar law of another state and the parent has failed to rectify the conditions that led to the prior termination of parental rights. (ii) The parent's rights to another child were voluntarily terminated following the initiation of proceedings under Section 2(b) of Chapter XIIA of 1939 PA 288, MCL 712A.2, or a similar law of another state, the parent has failed to rectify the conditions that
led to the prior termination of parental rights, and the proceeding involved abuse that included 1 or more of the following: (A) Abandonment of a young child. (B) Criminal sexual conduct involving penetration, attempted penetration, or assault with intent to penetrate.

(H) Aiding and abetting, attempting to commit, conspiring to commit, or soliciting murder or voluntary manslaughter.

(2) In a petition submitted as required by subsection (1), if a parent is a suspected perpetrator or is suspected of placing the child at an unreasonable risk of harm due to the parent's failure to take reasonable steps to intervene to eliminate that risk, the department shall include a request for termination of parental rights at the initial dispositional hearing as authorized under Section 19b of Chapter XIIA

(C) Battering, torture, or other serious physical harm.(D) Loss or serious impairment of an organ or limb.

(E) Life-threatening injury. (F) Murder or attempted murder. (G) Voluntary manslaughter.

Date and ti re

28. Other: Based upon the evidence presented at trial as analyzed above, the Court finds that the Petitioner did not meet their burden of proof. The Court finds that the Petitioner failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent Father who is responsible for the care and maintenance of neglected or refused to provide the proper or necessary support, education, medical, surgical, or other care necessary for the health or morals of

Court further finds that the Petitioner failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent Father's home or environment, is an unfit place for to live in by reason of Respondent Father's neglect, cruelty,

was subject to a substantial risk of harm to their mental well-being pursuant to MCL 712A.2(b)(1). The

Case No.

Order of Adjudication (Child Protective Proceedings) (9/24)

27. Placement shall continue pending disposition on

Page 5 of 5

RECEIVED FOR FILING

IT IS ORDERED: (continued)

of 1939 PA 288, MCL 712A.19b.

(3) If the department is considering petitioning for termination of parental rights at the initial dispositional hearing as authorized under section 19b of chapter XIIA of 1939 PA 288, MCL 712A.19b, even though the facts of the child's case do not require departmental action under subsection (1), the department shall hold a conference among the appropriate agency personnel to agree upon the course of action. The department shall notify the attorney representing the child of the time and place of the conference, and the attorney may attend. If an agreement is not reached at this conference, the department director or the director's designee shall resolve the disagreement after consulting the attorneys representing both the department and the child.

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

FAMILY DIVISION

IN RE	MINOR,		
0		CASE NO:	
		HON.	

OPINION AND ORDER

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

This matter is before the Court on a transferred permanent custody petition dated regarding Respondent Father, only 1.
The Petitioner, Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS), filed a petition on seeking to terminate the parental rights of Respondent Father, and Non-Respondent Mother to their minor child, (born in and now years old). The petition authorized by the Court on and filed on alleged that minor child, should come within the provisions of MCL 712A. 2(b)(1) and (2) and that it was contrary to his welfare to remain in the home because Child Protective Services (CPS) received allegations of severe physical abuse by Father. At the time of the complaint, was intubated with brain hemorrhaging at the Hospital. The petition further alleged that minor child, presented with injuries consistent with physical abuse.
A Pretrial Hearing was held on The parties waived the time guidelines on the record to set this matter for a Jury Trial on, to be continued on, the Court found good cause based upon the Petitioner's oral motion to adjourn this matter to, to be continued on At a Pretrial Hearing held on the Court found good cause to adjourn this matter a second time based upon the joint request of counsel to adjourn. The parties were given a
testified that the petition as it related to Respondent Mother, was not authorized and she was removed as a respondent. The minor child, was to remain in the care of maternal grandmother, and Mother was permitted to live in the home.

tentative Jury I rial date in based upon the availability of Respondent Father's
counsel. At a Pretrial Hearing on the Jury Trial was scheduled for
to be continued on For discovery purposes, the Court
ordered a psychological evaluation at the department's expense. At a Pretrial Hearing held on
, the Court adjourned the Jury Trial for a third time to
request of Respondent Father due to a necessary witness being unavailable. At a Pretrial Hearing
held on was changed to a
Bench Trial at the request of Respondent Father. On the Court heard
Respondent Father's Motion in Limine RE: Expert Testimony Concerning Diagnosis of
"Abusive Head Trauma" and denied the motion without prejudice for the reasons stated on the
record. Specifically, the Court ruled that Respondent Father may renew the motion during the
Bench Trial and the Court shall rule accordingly on this issue depending on the evidence
presented.
presented.
A Bench Trial regarding jurisdiction and statutory grounds was held by the Court on
and continued
During the Bench Trial on the Court took judicial notice of the legal and
social file, excluding all statements contained within that were hearsay. The Court entered a
Stipulated Order Regarding the Admission of Exhibits based upon the parties' stipulation to the
admission of joint exhibits 1-4 for the Court's consideration. The Court considered all the
medical records for which included the following admitted joint exhibits: Exhibit 1,
medical records from Exhibit 2, medical records from
Exhibit 3, medical records from the
and Exhibit 4,
Court also considered joint Exhibit 5,
1
The Court heard from the following witnesses for the Petitioner:
CPS ; Dr. , Petitioner's Expert on
; CPS Specialist
, Respondent Father on
, Non-Respondent Mother on
The Court heard from the following witnesses for the Respondent Father: Dr
Respondent Father's Expert on Maternal
Grandmother of on Paternal Grandmother of
on Pediatric Nurse Practitioner on
At the conclusion of the Bench Trial, The Petitioners requested that the Court find that
minor child, was without proper care and custody and requested that the Court take
jurisdiction over pursuant to MCL712A. 2(b)(1) and (2) through Respondent Father and
find statutory grounds to terminate the parental rights of Respondent Father at initial disposition
pursuant to MCL 712A. 19b(3)(j). The Respondent Father requested that the Court not take
jurisdiction over and if the Court was persuaded to find jurisdiction by a preponderance
of the evidence, then not find statutory grounds by clear and convincing evidence. The L-GAL

Further, pursuant to MCL 712A.2(b)(2), the Court finds that there was a lack of evidence presented at trial Father's home or environment, is an unfit place for to live in by reason of Father's neglect, cruelty, drunkenness, criminality, or depravity. Thus, the Court finds that does not come within the provisions of MCL 712A.2(b)(2) by a preponderance of the evidence.

V. CONCLUSION

Based upon the evidence presented at trial as analyzed above, the Court finds that the Petitioner did not meet their burden of proof. The Court finds that the Petitioner failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent Father who is responsible for the care and maintenance of neglected or refused to provide the proper or necessary support, education, medical, surgical, or other care necessary for the health or morals of nor that was subject to a substantial risk of harm to their mental well-being pursuant to MCL 712A.2(b)(1). The Court further finds that the Petitioner failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent Father's home or environment, is an unfit place for to live in by reason of Respondent Father's neglect, cruelty, drunkenness, criminality, or depravity pursuant to MCL 712A.2(b)(2).

The Court finds that the Petitioner failed to establish that the minor child, comes within the provisions of MCL 712A.2(b)(1) and/or (2) by a preponderance of the evidence. Since the Court finds that minor child, does not come within the jurisdiction of the Court pursuant to MCL 712A.2(b)(1) or (2), no analysis under the provision of MCL 712A. 19b(3)(j) shall be explored.

Therefore, this Court shall dismiss this petition and order that the minor child, shall be immediately returned to the care and custody of both his parents.

IT IS SO ORDERED:



Family Division